

Panaji, 31st August, 2017 (Bhadra 9, 1939)

SERIES II No. 22

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Note:- There are two Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 21 dated 24-08-2017 as follows:—

- (1) Extraordinary dated 28-08-2017 from pages 1449 to 1450 regarding Notification from Department of Elections.
- (2) Extraordinary (No. 2) dated 29-08-2017 from pages 1451 to 1452 regarding Notification from Department of Commercial Taxes.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Finance
Revenue & Control Division

Notification

No. 4/5/2005-Fin(R&C)/2929

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of sub-section (2) of Section 13 of the Goa Value Added Tax Act, 2005 (Goa Act No. 9 of 2005) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby appoints the person mentioned in column (2) of the Schedule herein below (hereinafter referred to as the "said Schedule") as Additional Commissioner of Commercial Taxes, for carrying out the purposes of the said Act, with effect from the date of their joining the post as specified in the corresponding entry in column (3) of the Schedule below:

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the officer	Date of joining the post
1	2	3
1.	Shri Ashok V. Rane	29-03-2017.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sushama D. Kamat, Under Secretary, Finance (R&C).

Porvorim, 22nd August, 2017.

Notification

No. 4/5/2005-Fin(R&C)/2930

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of sub-section (2) of Section 13 of the Goa Value Added Tax Act, 2005 (Goa Act No. 9 of 2005) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby appoints the person mentioned in column (2) of the Schedule herein below (hereinafter referred to as the "said Schedule") as Commercial Tax Officer, for carrying out the purposes of the said Act, with effect from the date of their joining the post as specified in the corresponding entry in column (3) of the Schedule below:

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the officer	Date of joining the post
1	2	3
1.	Shri Gaurish V. Khedekar	12-07-2016.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sushama D. Kamat, Under Secretary, Finance (R&C).

Porvorim, 22nd August, 2017.

Notification

No. 4/5/2005-Fin(R&C)/2931

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of sub-section (2) of Section 13 of the Goa Value Added Tax Act, 2005 (Goa Act No. 9 of 2005) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby appoints the person mentioned in column (2) of the Schedule herein below (hereinafter referred to as the "said Schedule") as the Commercial Tax Inspector, for carrying out the purposes of the said Act, with effect from the date of their joining the post as

specified in the corresponding entry in column (3) of the Schedule below:

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the officer	Date of joining the post
1	2	3
1.	Shri Ramnath D. Gawas	29-06-2016.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sushama D. Kamat, Under Secretary, Finance (R&C).

Porvorim, 22nd August, 2017.

Notification

No. 4/5/2005-Fin(R&C)/2932

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of sub-section (2) of Section 13 of the Goa Value Added Tax Act, 2005 (Goa Act No. 9 of 2005) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby appoints the persons mentioned in column (2) of the Schedule herein below (hereinafter referred to as the "said Schedule") as Assistant Commissioners of Commercial Taxes, for carrying out the purposes of the said Act, with effect from the date of their joining the post as specified in the corresponding entry in column (3) of the Schedule below:

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the officer	Date of joining the post
1	2	3
1.	Shri Chandresh C. Kunkalkar	28-09-2016.
2.	Smt. Sangeeta M. Porobo	28-09-2016.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sushama D. Kamat, Under Secretary, Finance (R&C).

Porvorim, 22nd August, 2017.

Department of Labour

Notification

No. 28/9/2017-LAB/Part-I/604

The following award (Part) passed by the Industrial Tribunal and Labour Court, at Panaji-Goa on 29-06-2017 in reference No. IT/05/94 is hereby

published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Georgina Saldanha, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 24th August, 2017.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AND LABOUR COURT

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

AT PANAJI

(Before Mr. Vincent D'Silva, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/05/94

Workmen,
Rep. by the President,
Federation of Goa Trade Union,
1st Floor, Mabai Building,
Margao-Goa. ... Workmen/Party I.

V/s

M/s. Dalmia Resorts International
Pvt. Ltd.,
The Old Anchor, Mobor,
Cavelosim-Goa. ... Employer/Party II.

Workmen/Party I, Shri Cruz Fernandes represented by Shri Subhash Naik Jorge.

Employer/Party II represented by Ld. Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat.

AWARD (PART)

(Delivered on this the 29th day of the month of June of the year 2017)

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) (for short the Act), the Government of Goa by order dated 13-10-93 bearing number 28/42/93-LAB has referred the following dispute for adjudication.

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Dalmia Resorts International Pvt. Ltd. (The Old Anchor), Cavelosim, in dismissing the following workmen with effect from 15-9-92, is legal and justified?

- (1) Shri Cruz Cardozo.
- (2) Shri Cruz Fernandes.
- (3) Shri Domnic Rodrigues.
- (4) Shri Aurelio D'Costa.
- (5) Shri Joaquim Mendes.
- (6) Shri Paul Cruz.
- (7) Shri Joseph Mascarenhas.

If not, to what relief each of the workmen is entitled?"

2. Upon receipt of the dispute reference No. IT/5/94 was registered. Notices were issued to both the parties under registered post, upon which both the parties were served. Party I filed a claim statement at Exb. 3. Party II filed written statement at Exb. 5. Rejoinder was filed by Party I at Exb. 6.

3. In short, it is the case of Party I/Workmen that they were initially the members of union by name "The Old Anchor Dalmia Resorts Employees Union" which union got affiliated to a Federation of Trade Union's i.e. Party I union. It is stated that in or about Feb., 1992 Party II suspended two of its employees without any valid reason to which the employees objected and the Federation gave a notice to Party II to withdraw the suspension order failing which they would resort to a strike. It is stated that Party II did not withdraw the suspension order and as such employees went on strike from 23-3-92 which lasted for about 18 days and was later withdrawn due to some understandings arrived at between Party II and the employees. It is also stated that Party II issued charge sheets to 41 workmen including Party I workmen during the period when the employees had gone on strike, however later decided to withdraw suspensions as well as charge sheets issued to all the employees, except 14 workmen and decided to continue enquires against the said 14 employees.

4. It is also stated that subsequently Party II took back 7 more employees in service by withdrawing the suspension orders and dropping charges but did not withdraw the suspension order nor dropped the charges against Party I workmen. It is stated that after completing the enquiry, Party II terminated the services of Party I workmen. The charge sheets issued to Party I workmen are totally false and are issued with a view to victimize them for participating in the agitation and the strike called by the union. It is stated that the enquiry conducted against Party I workmen was in violation of principles of natural justice and no sufficient opportunity was given to them to defend themselves in the enquiry. Thus, it is the case of Party I that termination of services of Party I workmen by Party II w.e.f. 15-9-92 is illegal and unjustified and they are entitled to be reinstated in service with full back wages and continuity in service.

5. In the written statement, Party II has raised objections on the maintainability of the reference by stating that there is no industrial dispute as defined in Section 2(k) or Section 2(A) of Industrial

Disputes Act. It is stated that two of the employees were suspended due to assault on the representative of Party II and thus they were suspended. It is stated that being aggrieved by the said suspension orders, some of the workers along with others obstructed the managerial, supervisory and administrative staff so also stopped the vehicles of guests and threatened and intimidated them and that the Party I workmen were also among the said striking workers. It is stated that Party II issued charge sheets to each of the employees and they were asked to submit their explanation and thereafter enquiry was held against each workmen individually. It is stated that the enquiry was conducted by following the principles of natural justice and the workmen were given full opportunity to defend themselves in the enquiry and that on completion of the enquiry, the Enquiry Officer submitted his findings holding the workmen guilty of the charges leveled against them and pursuant to that, Party II dismissed the workmen from service.

6. In the rejoinder, Party I has denied the case set up by Party II in the written statement.

7. In the course of further proceedings, Party I workman namely, Shri Cruz Fernandes represented by Shri Subhash Naik Jorge settled the matter amicably with Party II by filing the terms at Exb. 26, which read as under:

- 1) It is agreed between the parties that the Party II shall pay an amount of Rs. 1,40,000/- (Rupees One lakh forty thousand only) to Mr. Cruz Fernandes in full and final settlement of his dispute.
- 2) It is agreed by Mr. Cruz Fernandes that in view of payment of above said amount, he does not press for his demand of reinstatement with the Party II and agrees that his dispute with the Party II is conclusively settled.
- 3) It is agreed and declared that the amount payable by the Company to the workman in the manner hereinabove provided for is in full & final settlement and satisfaction of all the claims of the workman against the Company including claims for compensation for loss of office or otherwise whatsoever.
- 4) It is agreed between the parties that the payment shall be made to the workman on the date of filing of these terms before the Tribunal for Award in terms thereof.

8. The above settlement terms are signed by the Party I workman, Shri Cruz Fernandes and his representative Shri Subhash Naik, so also by Party II and their Advocate Shri P. J. Kamat.

9. I have gone through the records of the case and the settlement terms filed as above. I am convinced that the above settlement terms are in the interest of Party I workman, Shri Cruz Fernandes and hence the same are accepted.

10. In the result, I pass the following:

ORDER

1. The reference at the instance of Party I workman, Shri Cruz Fernandes stands disposed of in view of above settlement terms filed by the said workman and Party II.
2. No order as to costs.
3. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(Vincent D'Silva),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal and
Labour Court.

Notification

No. 28/9/2017-LAB/605

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal and Labour Court, at Panaji-Goa on 12-07-2017 in reference No. IT/05/94 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Georgina Saldanha, Under Secretary (Labour).
Porvorim, 24th August, 2017.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AND LABOUR COURT

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

AT PANAJI

(Before Mr. Vincent D'Silva, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/05/94

Workmen,
Rep. by the President,
Federation of Goa Trade Union,
1st Floor, Mabai Building,
Margao-Goa. ... Workmen/Party I
V/s

M/s. Dalmia Resorts International
Pvt. Ltd.,
The Old Anchor, Mobor,
Cavelosim-Goa. ... Employer/Party II
Workmen/Party I represented by Shri Subhash Naik
Jorge.
Employer/Party II represented by Ld. Adv. Shri P. J.
Kamat.

AWARD

**(Delivered on this the 12th day of the month
of July of the year 2017)**

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) (for short the Act), the Government of Goa by order dated 13-10-93 bearing number 28/42/93-LAB has referred the following dispute for adjudication.

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Dalmia Resorts International Pvt. Ltd. (The Old Anchor), Cavelosim, in dismissing the following workmen with effect from 15-9-92, is legal and justified?

- (1) Shri Cruz Cardozo.
- (2) Shri Cruz Fernandes.
- (3) Shri Domnic Rodrigues.
- (4) Shri Aurelio D'Costa.
- (5) Shri Joaquim Mendes.
- (6) Shri Paul Cruz.
- (7) Shri Joseph Mascarenhas.

If not, to what relief each of the workmen is entitled?"

2. Upon receipt of the dispute reference No. IT/05/94 was registered. Notices were issued to both the parties under registered post, upon which both the parties were served. Party I filed a claim statement at Exb. 3. Party II filed a written statement at Exb. 5. Rejoinder was filed by Party I at Exb. 6.

3. In short, it is the case of Party I/workmen that they were initially the members of union by name 'The Old Anchor Dalmia Resorts Employees Union' which union got affiliated to a Federation of Trade Union's i.e. Party I union. It is stated that in or about Feb., 1992 Party II suspended two of its employees without any valid reason to which the employees objected and the Federation gave a notice to Party II to withdraw the suspension order failing which they would resort to a strike. The Party II did not withdraw the suspension order and as such employees went on strike from 23-3-92 which lasted for about 18 days and was later withdrawn due to some understandings arrived at

between Party II and the employees. It is also stated that Party II issued charge sheets to 41 workmen including Party I workmen during the period when the employees had gone on strike, however later on decided to withdraw suspensions as well as charge sheets issued to all the employees, except 14 workmen and decided to continue enquires against the said 14 employees.

4. It is also stated that subsequently Party II took back 7 more employees in service by withdrawing the suspension orders and dropping charges but did not withdraw the suspension orders nor dropped the charges against Party I workmen. It is stated that after completing the enquiry, Party II terminated the services of Party I workmen. The charge sheets issued to Party I workmen are totally false and are issued with a view to victimize them for participating in the agitation and the strike called by the union. It is stated that the enquiry conducted against Party I workmen was in violation of principles of natural justice and no sufficient opportunity was given to them to defend themselves in the enquiry. Thus, it is the case of Party I that termination of services of Party I workmen by Party II w.e.f. 15-9-92 is illegal and unjustified and they are entitled to be reinstated in service with full back wages and continuity in service.

5. In the written statement, Party II has raised objections on the maintainability of the reference by stating that there is no industrial dispute as defined in Section 2(k) or Section 2(A) of Industrial Disputes Act. It is stated that two of the employees were suspended due to assault on the representative of Party II and thus they were suspended. It is stated that being aggrieved by the said suspension orders, some of the workers along with others obstructed the managerial, supervisory and administrative staff so also stopped the vehicles of guests and threatened and intimidated them and that the Party I workmen were also amongst the said striking workers. It is stated that Party II issued chargesheets to each of the employees and they were asked to submit their explanation and thereafter enquiry was held against each workmen individually and that the enquiry was conducted by following the principles of natural justice and the workmen were given full opportunity to defend themselves in the enquiry and that on completion of the enquiry, the Enquiry Officer submitted his findings holding the workmen guilty of the charges leveled against them and pursuant to that, Party II dismissed the workmen from service.

6. In the rejoinder, Party I have denied the case set up by Party II in the written statement.

7. Based on the averments of the respective parties, issues came to be framed on 13-11-1995.

8. It is a matter of record that during the course of proceedings, Shri Aurelio D'Costa settled the matter vide Order dated 13-06-2003. Similarly, Shri Joseph Mascarenhas, Shri Cruz Cardoz, Shri Joaquim Mendes, Shri Domnic John Rodrigues and Shri Cruz Fernandes have settled their disputes vide Orders dated 22-11-2010, 31-08-2015, 28-01-2016, 28-01-2016 and 29-06-2017 respectively.

9. In the course of further proceedings, Party I workman namely, Shri Paul Cruz represented by Shri Subhash Naik Jorge settled the matter amicably with Party II by filing the terms dated 11-07-2017 at Exb. 46, which read as under:

- 1) It is agreed between the parties that the management of M/s. Old Anchor, Cavelossim Beach, Mobor, Salcete, Goa shall pay a sum of Rs. 2,00,000/- (Rupees Two lakhs only) by Cheque No. 080007 dated 04-07-2017, drawn on IDBI Bank, K. G. Marg, New Delhi, which shall include all his claims arising from and out of the present reference and his employment with Old Anchor, Goa. The above amount shall include all his claims of unpaid wages, bonus, leave encashment, gratuity, ex-gratia, etc. if any, or any other claims/benefits which can be computed in terms of money.
- 2) It is agreed by the Party I that he shall accept the amount mentioned in the Clause (1) in full and final settlement of all his claims arising from and out of the employment, in complete satisfaction of all the claims made in the present reference and further confirm that he shall have no claim of whatsoever nature against the company including any claim of re-instatement or/and re-employment.

10. The above settlement terms are signed by the Party I workman, Shri Paul D'Cruz and his representative Shri Subhash Naik Jorge, so also by Party II and their Advocate Shri P. J. Kamat.

11. I have gone through the records of the case and the settlement terms filed as above. I am convinced that the above settlement terms dated 11-07-2017 at Exb. 46 are in the interest of Party I workman, Shri Paul Cruz, so also Party II. Hence, the same are accepted.

12. In the result, I pass the following:

ORDER

1. The reference at the instance of Party I workman, Shri Paul Cruz stands disposed of in view of above settlement terms dated 11-07-2011 filed by the said workman and Party II.
2. No order as to costs.
3. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(Vincent D'Silva),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal and
Labour Court.

Notification

No. 28/9/2017-LAB/606

The following award (Part-II) passed by the Industrial Tribunal and Labour Court, at Panaji-Goa on 21-07-2017 in reference No. IT/21/12 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Georgina Saldanha, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 24th August, 2017.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AND
LABOUR COURT
GOVERNMENT OF GOA
AT PANAJI

(Before Mr. Vincent D'Silva, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/21/12

Workmen
Represented by the
General Secretary,
Goa MRF Union,
H. No. 282, Dr. P. S. Ramani Road,
Wadi, Talaulim,
Ponda, Goa (403 401) Workmen/Party I.
V/s
M/s. M.R.F. Ltd.,
Tisk Usgao,
Goa (403 407) Employer/Party II.
Workmen/Party I represented by Learned Adv.
Shri P. Agrawal.

Employer/Party II represented by Learned Adv.
Shri G. K. Sardessai.

AWARD (PART II)

**(Delivered on this the 21st day of the month
of July of the year 2017)**

This is an application filed by the Party II at exhibit 282 for disposing of the reference.

2. Briefly stated, the case of the Party II is as follows:

That by a Consent order passed by Hon'ble High Court dated 24-07-2014 in Writ Petition 253/2014, the Hon'ble Tribunal was requested to frame and decide a preliminary issue as to whether an award should be made in terms of settlement dated 06-04-2011. As per Clause 3 of the Minutes of Order filed before the High Court, the parties had agreed that if the preliminary issue aforesaid is held in favour of the Petitioner Company, the tribunal shall pass an award in terms of settlement dated 06-04-2011 in accordance with law, on the other hand, if the tribunal rejects the Petitioners' application for award in terms of settlement dated 06-04-2011, it shall proceed to decide the main reference in accordance with law within 6 months from the date of the award.

3. It is stated that the Hon'ble Tribunal was pleased to frame four issues, amongst them, the preliminary issue No. 3 was 'whether Party No. II proves that the settlement dated 06-04-2011 is fair, legal, proper, has been accepted by the majority and as it covers all the demands which are subject matter of the present reference, an award needs to be passed in terms of said settlement dated 06-04-2011'. The above issue framed by the Industrial Tribunal (as mandated by High Court Order), if decided would settle the main dispute once and for all. This Hon'ble Tribunal passed an Award dated 29-09-2016 disposing of the above reference in terms of Issue No. 3 and that as the consequence of the Award, no further dispute survives as per the Consent Order dated 24-07-2014 filed before the Hon'ble High Court.

4. The Party I filed a reply inter-alia contending that the Party II had acted against the consent terms by stopping the payment of interim relief to Party I workers as agreed upon and filed an application for modification of Order dated 24-7-2014 on 15-2-2016. The Order of reference dated 20-4-2012 cannot be disposed of without deciding the remaining issues framed by the Tribunal and therefore, the application be dismissed.

5. Arguments heard.

6. Admittedly, the Party II filed a Writ Petition bearing No. 253/2014 before the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay at Goa, in which Party I and Party II arrived at a settlement by filing Minutes of order dated 24-7-2014 and accordingly, the petition stood disposed of in terms of the said Minutes of order. It was agreed in Clause 3 of Minutes of order to request the Tribunal to frame a preliminary issue 'as to whether an award should be made in terms of settlement dated 06-04-2011'. Further, in terms of Clause 3, it was agreed that if the preliminary issue is held in favour of the Party I, the tribunal shall pass an award in terms of the settlement dated 06-04-2011 and if the tribunal rejects the said application, it shall proceed to decide the main reference in accordance with law within 6 months from the date of the award.

7. There is no dispute that the tribunal framed the issues namely (1) Whether the Party I/union proves that the demands submitted by them vide letter dated 25-5-11 are legal and justified?; (2) Whether the Party I/union proves that the persons who have signed the settlement dated 6-4-11 were not authorized on behalf of the workmen to negotiate or enter into the said settlement?; (2A) Whether the Party II proves that refusal to concede and its legality and justification is not an industrial dispute and hence the reference made of such issue is beyond the scope of the powers of the Appropriate Government?; (2B) Whether the Party II proves that Party I submitted the Charter of demands dated 16-09-2010 without terminating the settlement dated 27-11-2006 and as such the settlement still subsists and is operational and binding; (3) Whether the Party II proves that the settlement dated 6-4-2011 is fair, legal, proper, has been accepted by the majority and as it covers all the demands, which are subject matter of the present reference, an award needs to be passed in terms of said settlement dated 6-4-2011 and (3A) Whether the Party II proves that the present reference does not survive and deserves to be rejected in view of settlement dated 01-12-2014 r/w settlement dated 06-04-2011. The Tribunal while passing the award dated 29-9-2016 answered issues No. 2, 2A and 3 and accordingly, Part I Award dated 29-9-2016 was passed in favour of Party II.

8. The findings on the above said issues have settled the main dispute once and for all as the issue No. 3 has been answered in the affirmative. It has been held that the persons who have signed the settlement dated 06-04-2011 were authorised

on behalf of the workmen to negotiate and enter into the settlement and that the settlement dated 06-04-2011 is fair, legal and proper and has been accepted by the majority and that it covers all the demands which are subject matter of the present reference and consequently, an award was ordered to be passed in terms of settlement dated 06-04-2011. Once the Part I Award has been passed holding that the settlement dated 06-04-2011 is fair, legal and proper and that award was passed in terms of the said settlement, no further dispute survives between the parties. The Part I Award dated 29-06-2016 would replace the terms of reference. The remaining issue No. 1, 2-B and 3-A have no bearing on the reference as it has been already held that the settlement dated 06-04-2011 is fair, legal and proper, accepted by majority covering all the demands which are subject matter of present reference. The contention of Ld. Adv. P. Agrawal that the order of reference dated 20-04-2012 cannot be disposed of without deciding the remaining issues framed by the tribunal, therefore cannot be accepted.

9. In the result, I pass the following:

ORDER

- 1) The application at Exb. 282 for disposing of the reference stands allowed.
- 2) It is held that as the consequence of the Part-I Award passed on 29-9-2016, no further dispute survives as per the Consent Order dated 24-7-2014 filed before the Hon'ble High Court.
- 3) Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-

(Vincent D'Silva),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal and
Labour Court.

◆◆◆◆◆
Department of Personnel

—
Order

No. 6/18/2016-PER

- Read: 1) Order No. 6/16/2013-PER/Part dated 25-11-2014.
2) Order No. 6/16/2013-PER/Part dated 26-03-2015.
3) Order No. 6/16/2013-PER/Part dated 24-08-2015.
4) Order No. 6/16/2013-PER/Part dated 22-09-2015.

- 5) Order No. 6/16/2013-PER/Part dated 20-11-2015.
- 6) Order No. 6/8/2016-PER dated 04-08-2016.
- 7) Order No. 6/18/2016-PER dated 02-12-2016.

The Governor of Goa hereby extends the probation period of the following Junior Scale Officers of Goa Civil Service appointed to the cadre vide Orders read in preamble from (1) to (6) above for a further period of 06 months or till the probation period is formally lifted whichever is earlier w.e.f. 25-05-2017, in terms of Clause 15, 16 & 17 of O.M. No. 28020/1/2010-Estt.(C) dated 21-07-2014 of Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions adopted by the State Government vide O. M. No. 12/14/89-PER (Part) dated 12-08-2014, and in terms of Rule 22 of Goa Civil Service Rules, 2016.

1. Smt. Maya M. Pednekar.
2. Shri Santosh S. Kundaikar.
3. Shri Bhushan Keshav Savoikar.
4. Smt. Sheru Appa Shirodkar.
5. Smt. Varsha S. Naik.
6. Smt. Anju S. Kerkar.
7. Shri Gourish Shankar Kurtikar.
8. Shri Brijesh D. Manerkar.
9. Smt. Neetal P. Amonkar.
10. Shri Yeshwant D. Kamat Khadaye.
11. Smt. Olga Menezes.
12. Shri Arvind B. Khutkar.
13. Shri Pipi T. Murgaonkar.
14. Shri Sagun R. Velip.
15. Smt. Sushma D. Kamat.
16. Smt. Maria S. D'Souza.
17. Shri Gaurish J. Shankhwalkar.
18. Shri Mahadev J. Araundekar.
19. Shri Harish N. Adconkar.
20. Smt. Sarita Sadashiv Marathe alias Gadgil.
21. Smt. Sangeeta S. Rawool alias Smt. Sangeeta Porob.

This is issued with the concurrence of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide Letter No. COM/I/5/42(2)/2013/606 dated 03-08-2017.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Shashank V. Thakur, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).
Porvorim, 21st August, 2017.

Order

No. 7/47/2014-PER/2549

Read Order: 1) 14020/03/2017. UTS-I dated 29-05-2017.
2) 14020/04/2016. UTS-I dated 01-10-2016.
3) 7/47/2014-PER dated 09-08-2017.

In partial modification to the Order cited (3) above, the salaries of the following IAS officers are to be adjusted against the posts as mentioned in column (3) with effect from the dates as mentioned in column (4) below:-

Sr. No.	Name of the officer	Salary to be drawn from the post	Salary to be drawn w.e.f.
1	2	3	4
1.	Shri Amit Satija, IAS (2008)	Commissioner of Excise	01-09-2017.
2.	Shri Ravi Jha, IAS (2011)	Director of Information Technology	24-07-2017.
3.	Smt. Anjali Sehwari, IAS (2013)	Collector (South)	01-09-2017.
4.	Shri Ajit Roy, IAS (2013)	Director of Industries	01-09-2017.

Shri Ajit Roy, IAS, shall draw salary from the date of his joining the State administration i.e. 24-07-2017 till 31-08-2017 from the Leave & Training Reserve of Goa Civil Service.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yetindra M. Maralkar, Additional Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 22nd August, 2017.

Corrigendum

No. 6/13/2017-PER/2544

Read: 1) Order No. 6/13/2017-PER dated 06-07-2017.

The row at Sr. No. 3, read in preamble (1) shall be deleted. The following para shall be inserted after the table:

Dr. Y. Durga Prasad, Joint Director, Planning shall hold additional charge of the post of Director of Planning, Statistics and Evaluation, until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Shashank V. Thakur, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).
Porvorim, 18th August, 2017.

Department of Science, Technology &
Environment

—
Order

No. 175-10-2016/STE-DIR/382

Sub.: Constitution of an expert committee at State Level to ensure that untreated/partially treated sewage or industrial effluents may not be disposed in wetlands.

As per the advised by the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MoEF & CC) to ensure that:

1. The untreated/partially treated sewage or industrial effluent is not disposed of in any wetlands by Panchayat, Urban Local Body, Industries or PSU. For the purpose of treatment, the norms/standards prescribed by the Goa State Pollution Control Board shall be the criteria.
2. Immersion of idols is not permitted in any wetland in contravention to the 'Guidelines for idol immersion' brought out by Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) and PROBES/136/2010–June, 2010.

Accordingly, Goa State Wetland Authority has constituted an expert committee at State Level to ensure that untreated/partially treated sewage or industrial effluents are not disposed in wetlands and strict compliance to the wetlands (Conservation and Management Rules, 2010).

The duties of the members would be to ensure that the wetlands (Conservation and Management) Rules, 2010 are thoroughly complied and implemented across the State. The committees would also ensure that the local bodies such as Directorate of Panchayats and Directorate of Municipal Administration take necessary steps to ensure that the untreated/partially treated sewage or industrial effluents are not disposed in wetlands and bring the notice of the Authority the violations occurring at local level during the idol immersion and oversee the process.

The term of this committee would be initially for the period of one year and may be subsequently renewed.

Following members have been nominated on the committee.

1. The Chief Town Planner or Representative, Town and Country Planning Department, Panaji-Goa.

2. Director or Representative, Directorate of Panchayats, Panaji-Goa.
3. Director or Representative, Directorate of Municipal Administration, Panaji-Goa.
4. The Member Secretary, Goa State Biodiversity Board, HOD, Nodal Agency, Goa State Wetlands Authority (GSWA), Saligao-Goa.
5. The Member Secretary, Goa State Pollution Control Board, Panaji-Goa.
6. The Representative, Goa State Council for Science & Technology, Saligao-Goa.
7. Dr. G. N. Nayak, Subject Matter Expert, GSWA.
8. Dr. Vinod Dhargalkar, Former Scientists, National Institute of Oceanography, Dona Paula, Goa.
9. The Director (Environment), Member Secretary, Goa State Wetland Authority–Convenor.

Parag M. Nagarcenkar, Director & ex officio Joint Secretary (Env.).

Porvorim, 22nd August, 2017.



Department of Urban Development
Corporation of the City of Panaji

—
Notification

Ref.: 13/22/TAX/TVC/CCP/2017-18/3285

As per the letter No. 10/369/2015/DMA/Part/2171 dated 07-11-2016 received from Directorate of Municipal Administration/Urban Development to re-constitute the Town Vending Committee. The same is to be re-constituted as per Goa Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Rules, 2016 as per Notification No. 10/369/2015/DMA/Part/2021 dated 19-10-2016.

The proposed Committee to be re-constituted as per the rules mentioned above as under:-

1. a) Commissioner, CCP – Chairperson.
2. a) Shri Shekhar Degvekar–Member–Corporator.
b) Smt. Ashmita Kerkar–Member–Corporator.
c) Shri Uday Madkaikar–Member–Corporator.
3. Dy. Collector & SDM/SDO, Panaji–Member.
4. Member Secretary, NGPDA–Member.
5. a) Police Inspector–Panaji Police Station–Member.
b) Police Inspector–Traffic Cell, Panaji–Member.

6. (11) Representative of Street Vendors Associations. of Community Based Organizations (CBO)–Member.
- a) Shri Bharat Tukaram Hoble–Member. a) Tallulah D'Silva (NGO).
- b) Smt. Molina Albuquerque–Cart Vendor–Member. b) Sabina Martins (NGO).
- c) Smt. Shantabai Khandeparkar–Cart Vendor–Member. c) Greogory Jacques (CBO).
- d) Smt. Mumtaz Bi–Cart Vendor–Member. 9. Two representative of Residential Welfare Associations (RWA)–Members.
- e) Shri K. Mohammed–Cart Vendor–Member. i) Shri Reginaldo D'Souza–Chairman–Pearly Shell Hsg. Society, Miramar.
- f) Shri John Mascarenhas–Cart Vendor–Member. ii) Shri Simon Fernandes–Kundaikar Nagar Hsg. Co-op. Society.
- g) Shri Chottelal Gupta–Cart Vendor–Member. 10. Branch Manager, Oriental Bank of Commerce–Member.
- h) Shri Rustom Sheikh–Cart Vendor–Member. 11. Medical Officer from Urban Health Centre–Member Secretary.
- i) Shri Ram Janam Gund–Cart Vendor–Member. 12. Mr. Kedar Malik–Legal Advisor–Member.
- j) Shri Dullo Gaunco–Cart Vendor–Member. *Dipak Dessai, Commissioner.*
- k) Shri Rajesh Gupta–Cart Vendor–Member. Panaji, 29th June, 2017.
7. President of Market and Trade Associations–Member. V. No. A-834/2017.
8. Two representatives of Non Government Organizations (NGO) and one representative

www.goaprintingpress.gov.in

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE–Rs. 10.00

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS, PANAJI-GOA-208/350-8/2017.